



10 Reasons Why Obama Will Get Re-Elected

- **Mitt Romney**—Need I say more? Going into a general election, the incumbent always has the advantage, and it's pretty tough to beat an incumbent. To do so, the opposition needs a really strong candidate. In this case, the Republicans need a Ronald Reagan. Unfortunately, Mitt Romney isn't that candidate. First, he comes across as an elitist...just like Obama. However, the liberal media is going to exploit Mitt Romney's wealth and paint him as out of touch with normal Americans. Second, Romney is going to lose a debate with Obama. There's no doubt that Obamacare will be a big issue, regardless of what the Supreme Court does. Obama will simply say that he modeled Obamacare after Romneycare in Massachusetts. That will suck the life out of the room. Look, Mitt Romney has no credibility on this issue. He was for it before he was against it. Sound like another politician from Massachusetts...John Kerry...who was also a loser. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**
- **The Polls don't lie**—Let's consider another factor in this election...the polls. These polls are pretty well dialed in. The pollsters have this down to a science. Look back at 2008. At this point in the 2008 election, John McCain was the Republican nominee. Hillary Clinton and Obama were still battling it out for the Democratic nomination. John McCain never led either of the challengers in the hypothetical polls and the polls had him trailing all the way to the election...and he ultimately lost. To date, only one Republican has beat Obama in a head-to-head match-up and that candidate is Ron Paul. Sadly, he's not going to be the nominee, and we're stuck with Romney who has



never led Obama in a head-to-head match-up in the polls. He'll likely suffer the same fate as McCain.
ADVANTAGE OBAMA.

- **Battleground States**—This election may ultimately hinge on a couple of battleground states like Florida and Ohio. In both states, Mitt Romney isn't doing well with blue collar voters. Take Florida, for example. The election will be decided by the voters in the I-4 corridor from Tampa to Orlando and up to Jacksonville. You've got a lot of blue collar service industry workers there who are predominantly younger and they just don't like Mitt Romney. If they vote, Obama wins. If they don't vote at all, slight advantage goes to Romney. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**
- **Economic data being manipulated**—Notice how the unemployment figure that gets reported in the media keeps dropping yet job creation isn't enough to actually bring the figures down? You guessed it...the figures are being manipulated. This isn't new, however. The data is always suspect. For example, the unemployment figures don't include the unemployed who have given up searching for work or those who have exhausted their unemployment benefits. Each week, a lot of unemployed folks are exhausting their benefits and dropping out of the statistics. So naturally, the unemployment figure is going down. If these people were actually counted in the figures, we'd have an unemployment figure of somewhere in the neighborhood of 18%. As we get closer and closer to the election, the unemployment figures are going to continue to drop which will look good for Obama. And what do you want to bet that gas prices are going to fall as we get closer to the election?



At the end of the day, has the economy improved since Obama took office? No. Real estate markets still suck; foreclosures are going to continue to increase and prices will continue to drop. The real unemployment isn't any better and will continue to get worse. Gas prices are almost double what they were when Obama took office. Food prices are higher than four years ago, even though the inflation figures say there isn't any inflation despite the massive amount of money printed by the Federal Reserve.

So, are you better off than you were four years ago? No, but the data that is going to be presented to the public will make voters think things are better than they were four years ago. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**

- **Voters not able to think for themselves**—This one is pretty easy. The general public and voters don't think for themselves. They're actually pretty dumb when you boil it down to the simplest terms. They generally won't consider all the facts and they won't consider all the things that Obama has done that are ruining America. They simply don't care. They're too busy with their insignificant daily lives to take the time to educate themselves about the issues and how the President's decisions impact America, their future, and their lives. So, they go about their business and as long as they're doing all right—they've got a job, the lights come on, gas in the car, etc.—they don't care and they'll vote for the incumbent because everything seems ok and he seems like a good guy and Mitt Romney seems like the bad guy—the rich, evil Republican. The voters are lazy and apathetic, and they'll find reasons to justify not voting for another candidate over Obama or they just won't vote, which is in essence another vote for Obama. They are victims of political spin and they aren't smart enough to realize it. They'll believe the negative attack ads that Obama's re-election



campaign will launch against Romney without ever verifying the facts or questioning the validity or substance of the ads. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**

- **\$1 billion war chest**—Obama has a fundraising machine that is far superior to Mitt Romney's. At the end of March, Obama had over \$100 million in the bank for the re-election campaign—ten times as much as Mitt Romney. For the campaign cycle, Obama has raised nearly \$200 million while Romney has raised only about \$90 million. Obama's base and contributors are energized and they're giving a lot of money to the re-election campaign (let's call it the Committee to Re-Elect the President or CREEP to borrow a term from the Nixon era). By most accounts, it looks like Obama will have about \$1 billion to spend on the re-election. Romney hasn't even energized the Republican base, and they don't seem too willing to open their wallets at this point. Every day that goes by, Romney falls further and further behind Obama when it comes to fundraising. Don't get me wrong...Romney has a powerful machine that is raising money but he's dwarfed by the President's re-election machine. If Romney expects to have a flood of money after the convention in Tampa to rival Obama's political war chest, he's living a fantasy. He'll get plenty of donations, but it will be too little and too late at that point. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**
- **Charisma**—I'll give this to Obama...he is very charismatic. And he might be a really nice guy...but he doesn't know what he's doing and he's ruining the country. However, his charisma is a very valuable asset in the election. And he promotes this superstar image that all the younger voters really like. They think he's one of them. I've often said we need to measure candidates by who you feel would most likely sit at the bar and have a drink and conversation with



you. In this, Obama has that quality hands down in the minds of a lot of voters. I don't have that perception of him, but a lot of people do. He's got the charisma and Mitt Romney doesn't. It's just that simple. Why do you think Obama polls so well among women voters and Mitt Romney doesn't poll well among women voters? It's all about charisma. Obama has plenty of it. Romney has none. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**

- **Election Fraud**—Why did JFK and Obama get elected? Election fraud plain and simple. It's pretty easy to stuff the ballot box with dead people or illegal votes when you control a handful of election officials in various states. Why didn't the media put more focus on the fact that the entire Dallas Cowboys football team voted in Ohio in 2008—no doubt for Obama? We're just now starting to hear about investigations into election fraud in Indiana in 2008. Obama may not even have had enough signatures to get on the ballot there, but he did and he's president now. Still no big media coverage of this. If this happened in Indiana and Ohio, where else did it happen? And now we know why Obama and the liberals oppose voter ID laws—they won't be able to stuff the ballot box with dead people or multiple votes or illegal immigrant votes.

It's pretty simple...Obama doesn't want to lose and will do anything and everything to stay in power. The machine will do what it has to do to help, particularly in swing states. Pretty easy to stuff a few ballot boxes in Ohio, Florida, Virginia, North Carolina, New Mexico, New Hampshire to ensure an Obama win.

And let's not forget what I also constitute as fraud...the Black Panthers intimidating voters outside polling locations in places like Philadelphia. It's not stuffing the ballot boxes but preventing people from voting by intimidating them ultimately favors one



candidate. Thus, it constitutes fraud. Can you imagine the liberal media hysteria had the KKK been outside polling stations on Election Day 2008? It just goes to show the hypocritical nature of the media. It was ok for the Black Panthers to intimidate votes but it wouldn't have been ok for the KKK to do the same thing. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**

- **Media Brainwashing**—The media is clearly biased in favor of Obama. They swoon over him like he's a rock star. They've definitely drunk the kool-aid when it comes to this guy. The media does nothing more than brainwash viewers with liberal propaganda, and it goes back to the fact that the public is so stupid they don't know they're being brainwashed and manipulated by the media. First off, anyone who relies on a comedian for their news shouldn't have the privilege of voting; you're obviously too stupid or you think this is a joke. Either way, you don't have the intellectual capacity to cast a very important vote. These comedians have no journalistic credibility or integrity. They're just propaganda machines for the socialist progressive movement. Second, if you blindly accept what the media tells you is true as being true without thinking about it or rationalizing it or verifying it, you probably don't have the capacity to vote. The media has an agenda whether you think they do or not. And this is for both parties mind you. Let's look back at the Dan Rather controversy regarding claims about George W. Bush's National Guard service records. Dan Rather knew those documents were false yet didn't care about the truth; he was more interested in a story and in smearing the Republican president. I would guarantee that he was still sore that Al Gore lost the 2000 election despite Dan Rather's own efforts to anoint Gore president by 8:00 on election night 2000 and was looking for anything and any opportunity to smear President Bush.



But there are millions of people in America who believed what Dan Rather said. There are millions of people who are convinced that Dan Rather wouldn't lie to them. Dan Rather said it, so it must be the truth. This is manipulative propoganda, folks. People need to think for themselves.

So how is this going to play out in the 2012 election? Easy to see that the mainstream media is clearly in the back pocket of President Obama. They're going to do and say anything to help him get re-elected. They'll stop at nothing—outright lies, smear campaigns, you name it. They'll keep telling viewers that things are getting better in the economy all thanks to Obama and people will believe it. There's an old saying about if you keep telling someone the same lie that eventually they'll believe it. That's exactly what the media is going to do to help with the president's re-election. **ADVANTAGE OBAMA.**

- **Gary Johnson, Libertarian**—I'm probably more a libertarian than anything but libertarians don't win many national elections. The only thing the libertarian candidates do is take votes from the Republican candidate. Gary Johnson is a good guy with some good ideas, but he isn't going to win. Ross Perot was a great third party candidate, but all he did was take votes from George H.W. Bush in the 1992 election in critical swing states which ultimately handed the election to Bill Clinton. Ralph Nader is accused of taking votes from Al Gore in 2000 and costing him the election. Gary Johnson isn't a strong third party candidate of the Perot caliber or even of the Nader caliber, but he could potentially take votes of moderate Republicans or independents in crucial battleground states. That could be enough to tip the state in favor of Obama. In 2008, for example, Obama won North Carolina by only 14,000 votes. Wouldn't be too hard for the libertarian to ensure that Obama



keeps a slim margin in states like this, particularly given the less than energizing candidate Mitt Romney.
ADVANTAGE OBAMA.

About Mr. Cartwright— Digger Cartwright is the author of several mystery stories, teleplays, and novels including *The Versailles Conspiracy*, a modern day political thriller, *Murder at the Ocean Forest*, a traditional mystery novel set in the 1940s, and *The House of Dark Shadows*, a psychological thriller.

Mr. Cartwright is also a noted industrialist and investor with interests ranging from shipping, oil exploration, sports entertainment, hospitality and tourism, and gaming. In the business realm, he has contributed to a number of articles on a wide range of financial, strategic planning, and policy topics and is a contributor to several finance/economic books. He frequently contributes articles, commentaries, and editorials focusing on current economic and political topics for the private think tank, Thinking Outside the Boxe.

Mr. Cartwright's philanthropic efforts include contributions to a wide range of causes, predominantly at the local level. Mr. Cartwright is an enthusiastic supporter of local [no-kill animal shelters](#) and [humane societies](#), the [Wounded Warrior Project](#), and local [Meals on Wheels programs](#).

He enjoys golf, participating in charity golf tournaments, falconry, and attending WWE events. He divides his time between Washington, D.C., South Carolina, and Florida.